

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OFFICER

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	
v.	)	8 U.S.C. § 1324a Proceeding
	)	Case No. 98A00048
ENRIQUE SILVA d/b/a J.B.'S NIGHT CLUB	)	
Respondent.	)	MARVIN H. MORSE
		Administrative Law Judge

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS**  
**(September 24, 1998)**

By Motion To Dismiss filed September 23, 1998, Complainant, on behalf of both parties, requests the judge to dismiss the Complaint on the basis of a settlement agreement which accompanied Complainant's Motion. To give effect to the obvious intentions of the parties, this Order grants Complainant's Motion to Dismiss the Complaint as settled.

However, certain terms of the settlement agreement depart from accepted practice and procedure. The first paragraph designated number "4" in the settlement agreement recites, "That upon execution of this Agreement, the INS will issue a Final Order . . . pursuant to section 274A(e)(3)(B) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1324a(e)(3)(B)." But for the undue delay in filing the Motion, I would reject the proposed settlement agreement, remit it to the parties for reformation, and require it to be resubmitted.

Since the outset of the employer sanctions program, both INS and the Administrative Law Judges (ALJ) who exercise jurisdiction over 8 U.S.C. § 1324a complaints have understood that the regimen obliges INS to stay its hand in the issuance of final orders until a case is disposed of by the ALJ. So far as I am aware, only once before in the administration of the program did INS embark upon issuance of a final order without first having obtained dismissal of the complaint or an equivalent judicial action. *See United States v. Turner's Japanese Auto Repair*, 8 OCAHO 1009 (1998). Presumably, the lesson of *Turner's Japanese Auto Repair* has not yet obtained widespread distribution among INS counsel. I expect INS will remind its personnel of the respective roles of the bench and the bar and of the necessity to heed the separation of functions concept.

- 2 -

The Complaint is dismissed, settled.

SO ORDERED.

Dated and entered this 24th day of September, 1998.

---

Marvin H. Morse  
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the attached Order Granting Motion to Dismiss were mailed first class this 24th day of September, 1998 addressed as follows:

Counsel for Complainant

Thomas R. Murphy, Esq.  
Immigration and Naturalization Service  
1545 Hawkins Blvd.  
El Paso, Texas 79925

Dea Carpenter, Esq.  
Immigration and Naturalization Service  
425 "I" Street, NW, Room 6100  
Washington, DC 20536

Counsel for Respondent

David J. Ellis, Esq.  
Ellis & Darnell, L.L.P.  
4115 Trowbridge  
El Paso, TX 79903

Office of the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer  
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2519  
Falls Church, VA 22041

---

Debra M. Bush  
Legal Technician to Judge Morse  
Department of Justice  
Office of the Chief Administrative Hearing  
Officer  
5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1905  
Falls Church, VA 22041  
Telephone No. (703) 305-0861